Numerical Method for Blasius Equation on an infinite Interval *

Alexander I. Zadorin Omsk department of Sobolev Mathematics Institute of Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia

(Proceedings of International Conference: Boundary and Interior Layers - Computational and Asymptotic Methods, Gottingen, Germany, July 24-28, 2006) zadorin@iitam.omsk.net.ru

1 Introduction

Blasius problem on a half-infinite interval is considered. This problem has a place under mathematical modelling of viscid flow before thin plate. Blasius problem is a boundary value problem for a nonlinear third order ordinary differential equation on a half-infinite interval.

This problem was investigated in many articles. For example, G.I. Shishkin [1] studied asymptotic behavior of differential and difference solutions to get difference scheme with a finite number on nodes for enough long interval. We apply the method of marking of set of solutions, that satisfy the limit boundary condition at infinity to transform a problem under consideration to a problem for a finite interval [2]. To use that method we have problems, connected with nonlinearity of differential equation and with unbounded coefficient before second derivative. We offer to do transformation of independent variable to avoid a problem, connected with boundless of that coefficient.

We consider a Blasius problem as model nonlinear problem for application of developing technic.

2 Case of a linear problem

Consider a problem:

$$\varepsilon u''(x) + [a(x) + x]u'(x) = f(x), \tag{1}$$

$$u(0) = A, \lim_{x \to 0} u(x) = 0.$$
 (2)

Suppose, that $a(x) + x \ge 0, \varepsilon > 0$, functions a(x), f(x) are smooth enough,

$$\exists \lim_{x \to \infty} a(x) = a_0, \ \lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = 0.$$

^{*}Supported by the Russian Foundation of Basic Research under Grant 04-01-00578

Note, that domain is unbounded and solution u(x) can be unbounded for a bounded function f(x). Integrating equation (1), we get, that if $a(x) + x \ge \alpha > 0$, then

$$|u(x)| \le |A| + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{x} |f(s)| \, ds$$

To avoid unboundedness of coefficient before first derivative, introduce new variable $t = \frac{x^2}{2}$. Then problem (1)-(2) become a form:

$$\varepsilon u''(t) + b(t)u'(t) = F(t),$$

$$u(0) = A, \lim_{t \to \infty} u(t) = 0,$$
 (3)

where

$$b(t) = \frac{a(\sqrt{2t})}{\sqrt{2t}} + 1 + \frac{1}{2t}, \quad F(t) = f(\sqrt{2t})/(2t)$$

So, coefficient b(t) is bounded for t, separated from zero. We can do some other replacement of x to do function b(t) uniformly bounded, but it is not important for method, considered below.

By the next equation we mark solutions of differential equation (3), that satisfy the limit boundary condition at infinity [2, 3, 6]:

$$\varepsilon u'(t) + g(t)u(t) = \beta(t), \tag{4}$$

where g(t) is solution of singular Cauchy problem for Riccati equation:

$$\varepsilon g'(t) + b(t)g(t) - g^2(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} g(t) = 1,$$
(5)

 $\beta(t)$ is solution of singular problem:

$$\varepsilon\beta'(t) + [b(t) - g(t)]\beta(t) = \varepsilon F(t), \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \beta(t) = 0.$$
(6)

Thank to condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} g(t) = 1$ every solution of equation (4) tends to zero at infinity and equation (4) picks that solutions of differential equation (3), that satisfy the limit boundary condition at infinity.

Lemma 1 Let $0 < b_1 \le b(t) \le b_2$. Then $b_1 \le g(t) \le b_2$.

Proof. At first prove, that g(t) > 0, $0 \le t < \infty$. Define

$$v(t) = \exp\left\{-\int_{0}^{t} \varepsilon^{-1}g(s)ds\right\}.$$

Obviously, v(t) is solution of a problem:

$$\varepsilon v'' + b(t)v'(t) = 0,$$

$$v(0) = 1, \lim_{t \to \infty} v(t) = 0,$$
(7)

It follows from (7), that

$$v(t) > 0, v'(t) < 0, 0 < t < \infty.$$

Taking into account that $g(t) = -\varepsilon v'(t)/v(t)$, we get g(t) > 0, $0 \le t < \infty$.

Prove, that $g(t) \leq b_2$. Consider a problem:

$$\varepsilon g_2' + b_2 g_2 - g_2^2 = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} g_2(t) = b_2$$

with solution $g_2(t) = b_2$. Let $z = g_2 - g$. Then z(t) is solution of a problem:

$$\varepsilon z'(t) - [g_2 - b(t) + g(t)]z(t) = (b(t) - g_2)g_2 \le 0, \ \lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = b_2 - b_\infty \ge 0.$$
(8)

If we suppose, that for some $s \ z(s) < 0$, then we shall have contradiction. It follows, that $g(t) \le b_2$. In the same manner we can prove, that $g(t) \ge b_1$. Lemma is proved.

Using a problem (6), we can prove, that

$$||\beta||_{\infty} \le ||g||_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} |F(s)| \, ds$$

Using equation (4), transform a problem (3) to a problem for a finite interval:

$$\varepsilon u''(t) + b(t)u'(t) = f(t), \quad 0 < t < L,$$

$$u(0) = A, \quad \varepsilon u'(L) + g(L)u(L) = \beta(L). \tag{8}$$

Prove, that problems (3) and (8) have a same solution for $0 \le t \le L$. Consider initial value problem:

$$\varepsilon u'(t) + g(t)u(t) = \beta(L), \ u(0) = A.$$
(9)

Taking into account equations (5),(6), we prove, that solution of a problem (9) satisfies to problems (3) and (8). Problems under consideration have unique solution, therefor problems (3) and (8) have a same solution for $0 \le t \le L$.

So, problem (3) is exactly reduced to a problem (8), formulated for a finite interval. We have only to find coefficients g(L) and $\beta(L)$.

Functions g(t), $\beta(t)$ as solutions of problems (5),(6) we can sick as asymptotic series:

$$g(t) \approx \sum_{n=0}^{N} \varepsilon^n g_n(t), \quad \beta(t) \approx \sum_{n=0}^{N} \varepsilon^n \beta_n(t),$$
 (10)

or in a form:

$$g(t) \approx \sum_{n=0}^{N} (2t)^{-n/2} g_n, \ \beta(t) \approx \sum_{n=0}^{N} (2t)^{-n/2} \beta_n.$$
 (11)

In case of representation (11) we suppose, that

$$a(\sqrt{2t}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} (2t)^{-n/2} a_n + O\left((2t)^{-(N+1)/2}\right),$$
$$f(\sqrt{2t}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} (2t)^{-n/2} f_n + O\left((2t)^{-(N+1)/2}\right).$$

In both cases (10) and (11) we got recurrent formulas on β_n, g_n . In particular, in case of representation (11) we have:

$$g_0 = 1, \ g_1 = a_0, \ g_2 = 1 + a_1, \ g_3 = -\varepsilon a_0 + 4a_0 + a_2 + 4a_0a_1,$$

$$\beta_0 = 0, \ \beta_1 = -f_1, \ \beta_2 = -f_2 - \beta_1 \varepsilon^{-1} (g_3 - a_2).$$

Make inverse transformation of independent variable and get a next problem for a finite interval: (()) = [()) = [()) = [()] = [(

$$\varepsilon u''(x) + [a(x) + x]u'(x) = f(x), \quad 0 < x < L_0,$$

$$u(0) = A, \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{L_0} u'(L_0) + g(L)u(L_0) = \beta(L_0). \tag{12}$$

Using maximum principle, we can prove next lemma.

Lemma 2 Let $\tilde{u}(t)$ is solution of problem (12) with perturbed coefficients $\tilde{g}(L_0), \tilde{\beta}(L_0)$,

$$|g(L_0) - \tilde{g}(L_0)|, \ |\beta(L_0) - \beta(L_0)| \le \Delta, \ \tilde{g}(L_0) \ge \sigma > 0.$$

Then

$$|u(x) - \tilde{u}(x)| \le \sigma^{-1} \Delta(|u(L_0)| + 1).$$

Consider a problem for numerical experiments:

$$u''(x) + \left[\frac{2x}{x+1} + x\right]u'(x) = 0,$$

$$u(0) = 1, \lim_{x \to \infty} u(x) = 0.$$

We compare different approaches for formulation of boundary condition at a finite point instead the limit boundary condition at infinity. Let $\Delta = \max_{n} |v_n^h - \tilde{v}_n^h|$, where \tilde{v}_n^h is solution of the scheme of upwind differences for enough long interval $[0, L_0]$, $L_0 = 100$; v_n^h is solution of the same difference scheme on a shot interval depending on boundary condition. In Table 1 error Δ is represented for different approaches and intervals.

Table 1: Absolute errors for a linear problem

L	$w_n(L) = 0$	$ ilde{g}_n(L)$		
		g_0	$g_0 + g_1/L$	$g_0 + g_1/L + g_2/L^2$
2	0.12e - 01	0.95e - 02	0.11e - 02	0.44e-04
3	0.35e - 03	0.20e - 03	0.19e - 04	0.41e-05
5	0.36e - 07	0.13e - 07	0.95e - 09	0.84e-10
7	0.32e - 12	0.86e - 13	0.49e - 14	0.23e-15

3 Blasius problem

Consider Blasius problem:

$$u'''(x) + u(x)u''(x) = 0,$$

$$u(0) = 0, \ u'(0) = 0, \ \lim_{x \to \infty} u'(x) = 1.$$
 (13)

Let

$$u(x) = v(x) + x, \quad w(x) = v'(x).$$

Then problem (13) can be written in a form:

$$v'(x) = w(x), \quad v(0) = 0,$$

$$w''(x) + [v(x) + x]w'(x) = 0,$$

$$w(0) = -1, \lim_{x \to \infty} w(x) = 0.$$
(14)

Consider iterative method for a problem (14):

$$v'_{n}(x) = w_{n}(x), \quad v_{n}(0) = 0,$$

$$w''_{n}(x) + [v_{n-1}(x) + x]w'_{n}(x) = 0, \quad 0 < x < \infty,$$

$$w_{n}(0) = -1, \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} w_{n}(x) = 0.$$
(15)

Lemma 3 Let

$$v_0(x) \ge v(x), \ v_0(x) \ge v_1(x), \ x > 0.$$

Then iterative method (15) converges.

Proof. Prove, that for every value of x sequences $v_n(x)$, $w_n(x)$ are monotone decreasing and have low bounds. Low bounds. Let $z_n(x) = v_n(x) - v(x)$, $p_n(x) = w_n(x) - w(x)$. Compose a problem:

$$z'_{n}(x) = p_{n}(x), \quad z_{n}(0) = 0,$$
$$p''_{n}(x) + [v_{n-1}(x) + x]p'_{n}(x) = -z_{n-1}(x)w'(x), \quad 0 < x < \infty,$$
$$p_{n}(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} p_{n}(x) = 0.$$

By induction prove, that for all x > 0 $z_n(x) \ge 0$, $p_n(x) \ge 0$. According to conditions of lemma $z_0(x) \ge 0$. Let $z_{n-1}(x) \ge 0$. Prove, that $p_n(x) \ge 0$. Suppose, that for some $s \quad p_n(s) < 0$. Then there is point of negative minimum of function $p_n(x)$, it leads us to contradiction. So, $p_n(x) \ge 0$. It follows, that $z_n(x) \ge 0$. According to method of mathematical induction for all $n \quad z_n(x) \ge 0$. It implies, that $v_n(x) \ge v(x)$, $w_n(x) \ge w(x)$.

Monotony. Prove, that sequences $v_n(x)$, $w_n(x)$ are monotone decreasing. Let $z_n(x) = v_n(x) - v_{n+1}(x)$, $p_n(x) = w_n(x) - w_{n+1}(x)$. Then $z_n(x) - p_n(x)$ are solutions of a problem:

$$z'_n(x) = p_n(x), \quad z_n(0) = 0,$$

$$p''_n(x) + [v_{n-1}(x) + x]p'_n(x) = -z_{n-1}(x)w'_{n+1}(x), \quad 0 < x < \infty,$$

$$p_n(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} p_n(x) = 0.$$

Using method of induction, prove, that $z_n(x) \ge 0$, $p_n(x) \ge 0$. According to conditions of lemma $z_0(x) \ge 0$. It is follows from equation on $p_n(x)$, that if $z_{n-1}(x) \ge 0$, then $p_n(x) \ge 0$ for x > 0. Condition $p_n(x) \ge 0$ implies, that $z_n(x) \ge 0$. So, using method of mathematical induction, we proved, that for every $n \quad z_n(x) \ge 0$, $p_n(x) \ge 0$.

We proved, that for every x > 0 sequences $v_n(x)$, $w_n(x)$ are monotone decreasing and have low bounds. It's known, that in this case sequences under consideration have the property of convergence. Lemma is proved.

Consider a case $v_0(x) = 0$. Prove, that conditions of a lemma 3 are fulfilled. First condition has a place, because $v(x) \leq 0$. Verify the condition $v_0(x) \geq v_1(x)$, x > 0. It follows from (15), that $w_1(x) \leq 0$ for x > 0. It implies, that $v_1(x) \leq 0 = v_0(x)$. So, conditions of lemma 3 are valid.

Consider a question of reduction Blasius problem to a finite interval. For every fixed n problem (15) is linear and we can use results, obtained in linear case. Second equation in (15) corresponds to (1) with $a(x) = v_{n-1}(x)$.

Transformed to a finite interval problem (15) has a form:

$$v'_{n}(x) = w_{n}(x), \ v_{n}(0) = 0,$$

$$w''_{n}(x) + [v_{n-1}(x) + x]w'_{n}(x) = 0, \ 0 < x < L,$$

$$w_{n}(0) = -1, \ \frac{1}{L}w'_{n}(L) + g_{n}(L)w_{n}(L) = 0.$$
(16)

Coefficient $g_n(L)$ can be calculated on base of asymptotic series (11) as it was discussed for linear case.

Consider results of numerical experiments. Write difference scheme for a problem (16):

$$v_n^k = v_n^{k-1} + \frac{w_n^{k-1} + w_n^k}{2}h, \ v_n^0 = 0,$$

$$\frac{w_n^{k+1} - 2w_n^k + w_n^{k-1}}{h^2} + [v_{n-1}^k + x_k]\frac{w_n^{k+1} - w_n^k}{h} = 0,$$

$$w_n^0 = -1, \ \frac{1}{L}\frac{w_n^K - w_n^{K-1}}{h} + \tilde{g}_n(L)w_n^K = 0,$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, K - 1.$$
(17)

Compare errors, corresponding to different approaches for formulation of boundary condition instead of limit boundary condition at infinity. Let

$$\Delta = \max_{n} |v_n^h - \tilde{v}_n^h|,$$

where \tilde{v}_n^h is solution of problem (17) for enough long interval $[0, L_0]$, $L_0 \gg L$, when error of limit boundary condition transfer to point L_0 is inessential. Let $L_0 = 100$, h = 0.1 We continue iterations, if $\max_k |v_n^k - v_{n-1}^k| > \delta$, $\delta = 10^{-13}$. Initial iteration is defined as $v_0(x) = 0$. In Table 2 error Δ is presented for different L and $\tilde{g}_n(L)$ in compare with condition $w_n(L) = 0$. In this Table $g_0 = 1$, $g_1 = v_n(L)$. We have similar results in case h = 0.01.

Table 2: Absolute errors for Blasius problem

L	$w_n(L) = 0$	$\tilde{g}_n(L) = g_0$	$\tilde{g}_n(L) = g_0 + g_1/L$
3	0.83e - 1	0.24e - 1	0.19e - 1
5	0.35e - 1 0.76e - 3	0.24e - 1 0.15e - 3	0.13e - 1 0.47e - 4
7	0.34e - 6	0.53e - 7	0.97e - 8
9	0.12e - 10	0.15e - 11	0.18e - 12

It follows from numerical experiments, that using special boundary condition, based on equation (4), we get more accurate results in compare with classical approach.

Author thanks N.B. Konyukhova for useful proposals, that were took into account.

References

- [1] G.I. Shishkin "Grid Approximation of the Solution to the Blasius Equation and of its Derivatives", *Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, **41**, 1, 37-54 (2001).
- [2] A.A. Abramov and N.B. Konyukhova "Transfer of Admissible Boundary conditions From a Singular Points of Linear Ordinary Differential Equations", Sov. J. Numer. Anal. Math. Modelling, 1, 4, 245-265 (1986).
- [3] A.I. Zadorin "The Transfer of the Boundary Condition From the Infinity for the Numerical Solution to the Second Order Equations with a Small Parameter" Siberian Journal of Numerical Mathematics, 2, 1, 21-36 (1999).
- [4] J.D. Kandilarov, L.G. Vulkov and A.I. Zadorin "A method of lines approach to the numerical solution of singularly perturbed elliptic problems" *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 1988 , 451-458 (2001).
- [5] A.I. Zadorin "Reduction from a Semi-Infinite Interval to a Finite Interval of a Nonlinear Boundary Value Problem for a System of Second-Order Equations with a Small Parameter", *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 42, 5, 884-892 (2001).
- [6] 23.A.I. Zadorin, O.V. Harina "Numerical method for a system of linear equations of second order with a small parameter on a semi-infinite interval" Siberian Journal of Numerical Mathematics, 7, 2, 103-114 (2004).